

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2708	
10/534,053	07/19/2005	Hiroshi Yamauchi	Q87343		
23373 SLIGHDLIE MI	7590 02/07/2008	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			HARLAN, ROBERT D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W1.5 (\$1.5	.,, 40 2000,		1796		
•			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,053	YAMAUCHI, HIROSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert D. Harlan	1796	

	Robert D. Harlan		1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sl	heet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as fili ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with	ing a Notice of amendment, aff appeal fee) in (	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	dvisory Action, or (2) to ater than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BO	6 from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory per than three months after	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	but prior to the date	of filing a briaf	will not be entered by	2001120			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or s	earch (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered bi	ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		04.01. (000.110	12 20.011),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		oy materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding numb	oer of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:				•			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejection	ns under appe r presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the	e claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place th	ne application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Raper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	. Torobido, raper	(3).					
<u> </u>		6. Har					
	Robert D. F	larlan !					

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1796 Continuation of 13. Other: The further limiting of nitrile-based monomer from "50 to 85%" to "64 to 85%" requires further consideration and/or search.